## **Site 5 Residential Owner LLC**

1735 Park Avenue Suite 300, New York, New York 10035
Phone: (212) 348-3248, Fax: (212) 348-3670
Appeals@ccmanagers.com

## Appeal Request

	_ Fax 212-348-3670 St 300, NY, NEW YORK 10035
Date: 7/30/2017 Log #: 472 Name: Chaim Ks tz	FIRST Left of the Control of the Con
Reason(s) for rejection: Family Sice	
Response to rejection (PLEASE PRINT/ WRITE LEGIBLY):	el Attached Document
Supporting documentation regarding the rejection included you may also include it with this appeal):	Employer Letter
documents, etc.)	
Proof of a Legal or Natural Change (birth cert, marria  Student Status; Official/ Unofficial Transcript	Other Attached Document
YOU MAY HAVE DOCUMENTS NOT LISTED ABOVE; FOR A COMUCH INFORMATION TO SUPPORT YOUR DISAGREEMENT ALL APPEALS WILL BE REVIEWED AND RESPONDED TO. THE GUARANTEE WE WILL BE ABLE TO CONTINUE PROCESSING APARTMENT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONTINUED INTERED	WITH OUR DETERMINATION. PLEASE NOTE HE SUBMISSION OF YOUR APPEAL DOES NOT 5 YOUR APPLICATION OR OFFER AN

## Appeal of Essex Crossing

To whom it may concern,

We recently received a letter from the housing board of Essex Crossing Site 5 which informed us that we were disqualified from consideration for a three-bedroom housing unit due to our family size (5 children). We have consulted with our family's legal representation and have determined that this decision is unfounded for several reasons. We are writing to appeal this decision, and to kindly request that you reinstate our proper eligibility status.

Firstly, we would like to point out that when a family has already entered their housing unit, their family size is no longer relevant and they can remain in their unit regardless of family growth (as was verified with housing authorities). In this light, it is hard to understand why a family that is one child above the family limit should be removed from consideration for an apartment that can benefit them so greatly. This issue of family size is further mitigated as our children have always shared bedrooms, and the space in the three-bedroom apartment for which we applied is more than ample to accommodate our family size. There is no law that limits the number of children per room, and our family has been inhabiting a similar space for several years.

Further, we would like to bring your attention to the unique social/religious group which our family is a part of. Being part of the Ultra-Orthodox Jewish community, where large families are the prevalent norm, and life is centered around family and religious values, brings unique living circumstances. Young men (such as our eldest son), as they begin high-school, frequently transition to dorm life in the religious high schools that they attend, to facilitate immersive study and develop independence. This makes the issue of family size much less relevant, as it is not representative of the number of regular inhabitants of the apartment.

It is disheartening to think that, because we have a large family, and different values than our neighbors, we are barred from consideration for such a desperately needed service. We would happily have applied for a larger apartment, however, there was no such option provided. After considerable research, it has been determined that large families are overwhelmingly from minority groups such as Hispanics, Muslims, and Religious Jews. Housing practices like this discriminate against these groups, and ensure that they are restricted access to services that other groups enjoy freely. What are those of us with larger families to do?

We greatly appreciate your consideration, and truly hope an equitable resolution can be reached.

Sincerely,

Chaim and Chana Katz